RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUL 1/3 2007

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket No. 26.2.D09/USA

In re Application of:

Steven J. Svoboda et al.

Serial No. 10/795,789

Filed 03/08/2004

For BLOWER/VAC DEBRIS
COLLECTION SYSTEM
WITH COLLECTION BAG
ON MOVABLE CART

ON MOVABLE CART

ON SYSTEM
ON MOVABLE CART

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action of June 13, 2007, the Applicant hereby elects the species identified by the Examiner as "a debris collection system having a cart of specific designs (clms. 1-8, 26-29)". However, the Applicant disagrees with the Examiner about which claims read on the elected species and to that extent the requirement is traversed. In the Applicant's view, claims 1-8, 18, and 24-31 are readable on the elected species.

Claims 18, 24 and 25 are readable on the elected species as these claims are not specifically directed to the dis-

Page - 1 -

Certificate of Transmission under 37 C.F.R. 1.8. I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on

James W Willer

v - - -

posable bag species, but are broad enough to read on the main species that includes a reusable bag. The elected species should include claims directed to at least one of the bag species, i.e. to at least the reusable bag species which was not listed as a separate species by the Examiner.

Claim 25 is clearly directed to the elected species as it relates to the horizontal orientation of the collection bag on the cart.

While claims 30 and 31 are directed to the hose, such claims are merely directed to how the hose connects to the cart to allow the cart to be pulled by the hose to trail behind the hose. The details of the hose/cart connection, which the Examiner suggests is a separate species, do not appear until dependent claims 33-35. Assuming arguendo that claims 33-35 belong to the hose/cart connection details species, the same is not true of the broader claims 30 and 31. These claims belong to the elected species of the cart and should be examined as well.

Thus, claims 1-8, 18 and 24-31 are readable on the elected species of a debris collection system having a cart of specific designs and all of these claims should be initially examined.

Respectfully submitted,

July 13, 2007

James W. Miller
Registration No. 27,661
Suite 1960 Rand Tower
527 Marquette Avenue
Minneapolis, MN 55402

Telephone (612) 338-5915